

# A RELATIONSHIP STUDY OF PRICE PROMOTION, CUSTOMER QUALITY EVALUATION, CUSTOMER SATISFACTION AND REPURCHASE INTENTION: A CASE STUDY OF STARBUCKS IN THAILAND

<sup>1</sup>QINTAO ZHANG, <sup>2</sup>KRIENG SIN PRASONGSUKARN

<sup>1</sup>Master Degree of Business Administrative, Assumption University, Bangkok, Thailand.

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor at Assumption University, Thailand.

E-mail: <sup>1</sup>er.shijiu@hotmail.com, <sup>2</sup>kriengsin@hotmail.com

---

**Abstract** - The purpose of this research is to investigate the relationship among price promotions, beverage and food quality, service quality, customer's satisfaction and repurchase intention in Starbucks (Thailand). A questionnaire was conducted to collect data from the respondents who visited Starbucks in Thailand. Correlation was applied to analyze the relationships among variables. The results suggested that price promotion in Starbucks has a positive relationship on customer evaluation on food and beverage quality and service quality, and a strongly positive relationship between customer satisfactions and repurchase intention. The findings encourage coffee shop management to utilize strategic price promotions to improve customer evaluation and satisfaction, which further contribute to customer loyalty.

---

**Keywords** - Price promotion, customer evaluation, customer satisfaction, repurchase intention

---

## I. INTRODUCTION

Thailand, the third largest coffee producer in Asia (after Vietnam and Indonesia), has a growing demand of coffee consumption. Compared to other countries, coffee shop industry in Thailand faces more competitive challenges. Many brand coffee chains, such as Café Amazon, Starbucks and Coffee World have already built a dense network and occupied a majority of market share (Allegra Strategies, 2015). It is widely accepted that companies prefer to use strategic promotions to increase new trials, attract brand switchers, motivate price-sensitive buyers, provide added value, and encourage repeat usage behaviors (Huff & Alden, 2000). In a broad sense, the 4Ps (product, price, place and promotion) are analyzed by managers to frame the marketing strategy for retaining customers. Previous research showed that retailers combine all the factors of product mix, assortment, pricing and promotional strategies to provide customers both hedonic and utilitarian benefits, and encourage customers to purchase more products (Darian et al., 2001). In further research, Nusair et al. (2010) explored the influence of different price discount levels and discount frames on consumer evaluation of service quality and their purchase intention. The results indicated that consumers are willing to participate in word-of-mouth (WOM) only when the offered discounts are very attractive. However, in 1992 Jain pointed out that price and promotion do not substantially contribute to customer retention. Unfortunately, there were fewer researches related to price promotions in coffee industry. Therefore, this research selected Starbucks as a representative coffee chain in Thailand to investigate the relationships of price promotions on customer quality evaluation and satisfaction. In order to reach towards customer

loyalty, this research also examined the relationship of customer satisfaction and repurchase intention.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Price promotion

Price promotions are widely accepted as price reduction, discount and mark-down (Nusair et al., 2010). A primary motivation for managers to offer price promotions is to stimulate sales. It was supported by Ailawadi et al. (2006) that factors like brands, promotions, product categories, and store characteristics have a positive impact on sales and visits. In further research, Moore and Carpenter (2008) identified that different segments of customers have different price perceptions. It also agreed that the most price sensitive, value conscious and sales prone customer segment places a high value to discount in retail environment.

### 2.2 Food quality

Quality was defined by Takeuchi (1983) that it is a standard of something consumers measure it with other different things by giving grades, merits, attributes to the products or services. Some previous studies have indicated that there is a positive or negative relationship between price promotion and customer evaluation. With regard to the evidence, in 1985, Monroe and Krishnan noted that a relationship between price and quality does exist. Moreover, other researchers also mentioned price and quality are seen as a substantial factor contributing to customer retention in marketing (Canniere et al., 2010). Zeithaml (1988), in his research, proposed that price as an indicator of quality depends on quality variation of related products.

### 2.3 Service quality

Service is distinguished from products by their intangibility, heterogeneity, inseparability, and perishability (Zeithamlet al., 1985). Service has a higher proportion of experiences and credence properties, which makes service performance more difficult to evaluate than product performance (Boulding et al., 1993). Customers may compare their perceptions and expectations to evaluate service quality. Most researches adapted Zeithamlet al.'s (1985) scale to understand customer perceptions, find customer expectations, and measure customer satisfaction about service quality.

### 2.4 Customer satisfaction

Customer satisfaction is a central element in the marketing exchange process, in which goods or services fulfill customer expectation of quality and service (Darian et al., 2001). Previous research has illustrated that customer satisfaction has an overall expectation of consumption based on perceptions, evaluations and psychological reactions (Churcill & Surprenant, 1982).

### 2.5 Repurchase intention

Customer loyalty was defined by Oliver (1997) as a deeply held commitment to repeat-purchases of a preferred product or service consistently in the future. Many related empirical studies also reported that satisfied consumers demonstrate more loyal behavior. Mittal & Kamakura (2001) put forward that customer loyalty as essentially behavioral intention includes repurchase intention, positive word-of-mouth, marketing and willingness to pay more.

## III. HYPOTHESIS

In coffee chains, beverage and food quality are recognized as the most important factors of customer evaluations, which may be influenced by price promotion. Therefore,

**H1.** Price promotion has a positive relationship with perceived beverage and food quality.

In 1985, Zeithamlet al. proposed that perceived service quality is a function of service expectations and service delivery. In order to reify intangible service, consumers commonly use tangible information of price to bring the expectations. Therefore,

**H2.** Price promotion has a positive relationship with perceived service quality.

Starbucks as a global coffee brand is widely recognized by its highly qualified coffee drinks and food. Since drinking a cup of coffee has become a part of daily ritual in Thailand, customers may feel more satisfied when they enjoy qualified coffee and dessert. Therefore,

**H3.** Perceived beverage and food quality has a positive relationship with customer satisfaction.

According to Tat et al. (2011), individual's perception about product or service performance leads to customer satisfaction. Therefore,

**H4.** Perceived service quality has a positive relationship with customer satisfaction.

The more consumers fulfill their expectations during purchase or service process, the higher the probability that consumers will generate word-of-mouth and repeat-purchase intention (Wong & Sohal, 2003). Purchase satisfaction is an important key driver of customer loyalty. Therefore,

**H5.** Customer satisfaction has a positive relationship with repurchase intention.



## IV . THEORETICAL MODEL

**Figure 1.** Theoretical model was proposed to identify the relationship among price promotion, beverage and food quality, service quality, customer satisfaction and repurchase intention, which was adapted from "Promote the price promotion, the effects of price promotions on customer evaluations in coffee chain stores" by Huang et al. (2014), International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management. 26(7), 1065-1082

### 4.1 Measurement

In this study, a questionnaire was developed based on the literature review. Price promotions were measured by five regular promotional activities in Starbucks (Thailand). A two-dimensional model was used to measure customer perceived quality, which included beverage and food quality and service quality. These items were measured by a five-point Likert scale rating from 1 to 5. Customer satisfaction and repurchase intention were also assessed by using a five-point Likert scale. (Huang et al., 2014).

### 4.2 Data collection and analysis

A total of 222 questionnaires were collected with 200 usable responses that had ever been to Starbucks in Thailand within the past three months. Analyzed from 200 participants, 53 percent were male. The majority of age (53.5 percent) was 25 to 34, and 82 percent of respondents came from Asia. Bachelor degree was 65 percent. 52 percent of their occupation were full-time employed and 34.5 percent had income higher than 60,000 Baht per month. The highest 52.5 percent visited Starbucks sometimes. 31.5 percent thought the best reason to choose Starbucks was drinks and food.

#### 4.3 Reliability test

Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha test (between 0 and 1) was applied to describe the reliability of all the factors. The variables are considered to be acceptable and reliable when the calculated result is greater than or equal to 0.60 (Sekaran, 1992). In this study, the measure model contained five latent variables and total 20 measurement items. The overall reliability result was high, Cronbach's Alpha=0.91 (Table I), which was acceptable.

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.913	20

Table I

The reliability test of five latent variables was also illustrated to be over 0.70 range, which provided indication of acceptable data reliability. The results as below summarized that all variables are reliable for using as the research instrument (Table II).

Variable	Cronbach's Alpha	N of item
Price Promotions	.720	5
Food and Beverage Quality	.889	5
Service Quality	.877	3
Customer Satisfaction	.806	3
Repeat Purchase Intention	.906	4

Table II

Among the five items of price promotions, respondents were most attracted by "buy one, get one free" (Mean=3.80), while special offers for the breakfast menu was the least attractive (Mean=2.32). Respondents agreed that Starbucks offers variety of choices of food and beverages (Mean=3.85) and employee-provided professional services (Mean=3.81). Customer felt satisfied with Starbucks' service (Mean=4.00). Most of the customers were likely to have willingness to return (Mean=3.99) (Table III).

Variables	Mean	SD
Price Promotions		
P1: Discounts for holiday gift packages	2.47	1.322
P2: Discounts for on certain drinks & food	3.70	1.076
P3: Special offers for the breakfast menu	2.32	1.214
P4: Buy one, get one free	3.80	1.256
P5: A loyal card with bonus points collection to redeem a cup of free coffee	2.85	1.406
Food and Beverage Quality		
FQ1: Good taste of beverages	3.81	.853

FQ2: Consistent quality of beverage	3.84	.811
FQ3: Variety of choices of food and beverages	3.85	.957
FQ4: Good taste of food	3.64	.977
FQ5: Consistent quality of food	3.70	.925

#### Service Quality

SQ1: Employee-provided professional services	3.81	.912
SQ2: Employee-provided recommendation to meet consumers' needs	3.52	.961
SQ3: Employee courtesy	3.79	1.000

#### Customer Satisfaction

CS1: Food and beverages	3.88	.767
CS2: Service	4.00	.905
CS3: Overall dining experience	3.85	.875

#### Repeat Purchase Intention

R1: Willingness to return	3.99	.796
R2: Willingness to recommend	3.31	1.057
R3: Intention to return	3.65	.940
R4: Likelihood of repurchase	3.68	.906

Table III

#### 4.4 Hypothesis testing

Correlation is an effect size to describe the strength of the correlation. Evans (1996) suggested that the absolute value of Pearson's  $r$ : 0.00-0.19 is "very weak", 0.20-0.39 is "weak", 0.40-0.59 is "moderate", 0.60-0.79 is "strong" and 0.80-1.0 is "very strong". From the analysis of correlation between price promotion and beverage and food quality, the results indicated that the significant is equal to 0.000, which is less than 0.01 ( $0.000 < 0.01$ ). Pearson Correlation of 0.36 means that there is a weak positive relationship between price promotion and beverage and food quality. It was concluded that the two variables move in the same direction, in other words, an increase in price promotion will also increase in beverage and food quality. The correlations between price promotion and service quality also showed significant is equal to 0.000 ( $0.000 < 0.01$ ). Pearson Correlation of 0.31 means that there is a weak positive relationship between price promotion and service quality. Furthermore, the correlation results illustrated the relationship between beverage and food quality and customer satisfaction is significant equal to 0.000 at the 0.01 significant level. Pearson Correlation equal to 0.70 indicated that there is a strong positive relationship between beverage and food quality and customer satisfaction. From the analysis of correlations between service quality and customer satisfaction, the result indicated the significant is equal to 0.000 ( $0.000 < 0.01$ ). Pearson Correlation of 0.66 supported there is a strong positive relationship between service quality and

customer satisfaction. The hypothesis that customer satisfaction has a positive relationship with repurchase intention was also supported by the result of correlations significant equal to 0.000 ( $0.000 < 0.01$ ). Pearson Correlation of 0.69 illustrated that there is a strong positive relationship between customer satisfaction and repurchase intention. All above testing result analysis provided the supporting evidences that all the hypotheses in this research (Figure 2.)



Figure 2. Summary of Structural Relationships

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Notes: \*  $P < 0.05$ ; \*\*  $P < 0.01$ ; \*\*\*  $P < 0.001$

## V. DISCUSSION

From all the testing data, it provided the evidences that price promotion in Starbucks has a weak positive relationship with customer evaluation on food and beverage quality and service quality, which further to have an influence customer satisfaction. The results agreed the previous findings of Ehrenberg et al. (1994) that price promotion effects on customer quality and satisfactions, and also supported customers use tangible information of price to bring the service expectation (Zeithaml et al., 1985). Starbucks manages multiple price promotions to improve customers quality evaluation and create more customer satisfaction, which was also consistent with Tat et al. (2011) that individual's perception about product or service performance leads to customer satisfaction. Moreover, this research confirmed the previous study that customer satisfaction plays a significant role in shaping purchase behaviors in the future (Russell-Bennett et al., 2007).

## VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

As mentioned previously, the marketing strategy of price promotion has a positive relationship with customer quality evaluation. According to the situation in which coffee shop industry in Thailand is becoming more competitive, price has been considered as an initial factor for purchasing. Managers could implement attractive price promotions like "buy one, get one free", or special discounts on coffee and food to increase more store excitement. Meanwhile, coffee chains should improve the quality management by selecting

qualified coffee bean, building a long-term cooperation relationship with qualified suppliers to maintain consistent coffee quality, and developing more menu choice of beverage and food. Professional employee training program was also recommended.

## REFERENCE

- [1] Ailawadi, K. L., Harlam, B. A., Cesar, J. & Trounce, D. (2006). Promotion profitability for a retailer: the role of promotion, brand, category, and store characteristics. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 43(4), 518-535.
- [2] Allegra Strategies (2015). Project café 2015 South East Asia report. Retrieved from Allegra World Coffee Portal website: [www.allegrastrategies.com](http://www.allegrastrategies.com)
- [3] Boulding, W., Kalra, A., Staelin, R. & Zeithaml, V. A. (1993). A dynamic process model of service quality: From expectations to behavioral intentions. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 30(1), 7-27.
- [4] Canniere, M. H. D., Pelsmacker, P. D. & Geuens, M. (2010). Relationship quality and purchase intention and behavior: The moderating impact of relationship strength. *Journal of Business and Psychology*. 25(1), 87-98.
- [5] Churchill, G. A. Jr. & Surprenant, C. (1982). An investigation into the determinants of customer satisfaction. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 19(4), 491-504.
- [6] Darian, J. C., Tucci, L. A. & Wiman, A. R. (2001). Perceived salesperson service attributes and retail patronage intentions. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*. 29(4), 205-13.
- [7] Ehrenberg, A. S. C., Hammond, K. & Goodhardt, G. J. (1994). The after-effects of price-related consumer promotions. *Journal of Advertising Research*. 34(4), 11-21.
- [8] Evans, J. D. (1996). *Straightforward statistics for the behavioral sciences*. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole Publishing.
- [9] Huang, H. C., Chang, Y. T., Yeh, C. Y. & Liao, C. W. (2014). Promote the price promotion. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*. 26(7), 1065-1082.
- [10] Huff, L. C. & Alden, D. L. (2000). A model of managerial response to sales promotions: A four-country analysis. *Journal of Global Marketing*. 13(3), 7-28.
- [11] Jain, S. C. (1992). Strategic marketing: evaluation, integration and managerial implications. *Journal of Managerial Issues*. 4(4), 510-532.
- [12] Mittal, V. & Kamakura, W. A. (2001). Satisfaction, repurchase intent, and repurchase behavior: Investigating the moderating effect of customer characteristics. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 38(1), 131-142.
- [13] Monroe, K. B. & Krishnan, R. (1985). The effect of price on subjective product evaluations. In Jacoby, J. and Olson, J.C. (Eds), *Perceived quality: How consumers view stores and merchandise* (pp. 209-32). Lexington, MA: Lexington Books
- [14] Moore, M. & Carpenter, J. M. (2008). An examination of consumer price cue usage in US discount formats. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*. 36(5), 345-359.
- [15] Nusair, K., Yoon, H. J., Naipaul, S. & Parsa, H. G. (2010). Effect of price discount frames and levels on consumers' perceptions in low-end service industries. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*. 22(6), 814-835.
- [16] Oliver, R. L. (1997). *Customer Satisfaction. A Behavioral Perspective on the Consumer*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- [17] Russell-Bennett, R., McColl-Kennedy, J. R. & Coote, L. V. (2007). Involvement, satisfaction, and brand loyalty in a small business services setting. *Journal of Business Research*. 60(12), 1253-60.
- [18] Sekaran, U. (1992). *Research method for business: A skill building Approach*. New York
- [19] Takeuchi, H. A. J. A. Q. (1983). Quality is more than making a good product. *Harvard Business Review*. 61, 139-145.
- [20] Tat, H. H., Sook-Min, S., Ai-Chin, T., Rasli, A., & Hamid, A. B. A. (2011). Consumers' purchase intentions in fast food restaurants: An empirical study on undergraduate students. The special issue on contemporary issues in Business and Economics. 2 (5).
- [21] Wong, A. & Sohal, A. (2003). A critical incident approach to the examination of customer relationship management in a retail chain: An exploratory study. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*. 6(4), 248-62.
- [22] Zeithaml, V. A. (1988). Consumer perceptions of price quality, and value: A means-end model and synthesis of evidence. *Journal of Marketing*. 52(3), 2-22.
- [23] Zeithaml, V. A., Berry, L.L. & Parasuraman, A. (1985). A conceptual model of service quality and its implication for future research. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 49, 41-50.